## Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

## English version below

Sehr geehrte/r Frau/Herr Prof. Dr. Blöchl,
Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung Hands-on course on density functional calculations I.

Im Auswertungsbericht werden die Histogramme, Mittelwerte und Standardabweichungen aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.

Die Mehrzahl der Fragen wird mit Angaben auf einer 7-stufigen Skala von „trifft nicht zu" bis „trifft zu
" beantwortet. Dabei kennzeichnet die Auswahl auf der rechten Seite (trifft zu) aus Sicht der Studierenden eine maximale Veranstaltungsgüte, die Auswahl auf der linken Seite (trifft nicht zu) eine minimale Veranstaltungsgüte. Dies gilt in fast allen Fällen. Es gibt vereinzelt Fragen, bei denen das Optimum in der Mitte der Skala liegt.

Am Ende dieses Berichts finden Sie die handschriftlichen freien Kommentare als gescannte Bilder, soweit die Studierenden solche Kommentare abgegeben haben.

Sollten Sie Fragen zur Qualität oder Lesbarkeit der Daten haben, können Sie dieses gern bis zum Ablauf dieses Semesters mit uns klären.

Unter http://www.uni-goettingen.de/de/ergebnisse/484416.html finden Sie etwa vier Wochen nach Ende des Semesters zudem das Gesamtevaluationsergebnis Ihrer Fakultät, das Sie ggf. mit Ihrem persönlichen Auswertungsbericht vergleichen können.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen
Ihr Evaluationsteam
Abteilung Studium und Lehre, Bereich Qualitätsmanagement Studium und Lehre lehrevaluation@zvw.uni-goettingen.de http://www.uni-goettingen.de/de/lehrveranstaltungsevaluation/484406.html

## Dear Ms/Mr Blöchl,

Please find enclosed the results of the automated analysis of your course Hands-on course on density functional calculations I.

The analysis report lists the histograms, averages and standard deviations of each question.
The majority of the questions reflect student responses on a 7 -step scale ranging from "does not apply" to "applies". Marks on the right side (applies) indicate a high grade for the course from the
students' point of view, marks on the left (does not apply) indicate a low grade for the course. However, please note that although this is almost always the case there are a few questions where the optimum grade lies in the middle of the scale.

At the end of this report you can find the written comments as scanned images, where the students made such comments.

If you have questions about the quality or readability of the data, you can discuss with us this until the end of this Semester.

You can also find the summary analysis for your faculty under http://www.uni-goettingen.de/de/ ergebnisse/484416.html about two weeks after the end of the semester. This summary analysis you can compare with your personal analysis, if required.

Kind regards,
Your Evaluationteam
Teaching and Learning Quality Management lehrevaluation@zvw.uni-goettingen.de
http://www.uni-goettingen.de/de/lehrveranstaltungsevaluation/484406.html

## Prof. Dr. Peter Blöchl

Hands-on course on density functional calculations I SoSe 2018

## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

## Legende

## Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten Std.-Abw. Mittelwer

Fragetext


## 1. Questions about course of studies and personal details

${ }^{1.1)}$ The qualification I am studying for on this degree programme is a ...

| Bachelor's | $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Master's | $\square$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| Diplom | $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| Magister | $0 \%$ |  |
| First State Examination | $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| Ecclesiastical Exam | $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| Doctorate | $\square$ | $64.3 \%$ |
| (guest student) | $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| other | $\square$ | $7.1 \%$ |

${ }^{1.2)}$ I am in subject semester (SS) ...

${ }^{1.3)}$ This class is (for me) ...

${ }^{1.4)}$ I selected this course as a key competency offer

${ }^{1.5)}$ The course primarily consists of ...

| laboratory experiments $\square$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| activities on-site, in the field $\square$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| solving tasks, calculations $\square$ | $91.7 \%$ |

${ }^{1.6)}$ My first language is

${ }^{1.7)}$ My gender is ...

| female | $\square 0 \%$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| male | $\square$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| prefer not to say | $\square$ | $7.1 \%$ |

## 2. Questions about the class

${ }^{2.1)}$ I learn a lot in this class.

${ }^{2.2)}$ The content of the class is well structured.
disagree

$\mathrm{mw}=6,3$
$\mathrm{~s}=1$
$\mathrm{n}=14$
$\mathrm{mw}=6,2$
$\mathrm{mw}=6,2$
$\mathrm{~s}=0,9$
${ }^{2.3)}$ The learning objectives were clearly formulated.

$\mathrm{n}=15$ $\mathrm{mw}=6$, 2 $\mathrm{s}=1,4$
${ }^{2.4)}$ The exercises were formulated clearly and comprehensibly.
disagree

$\mathrm{n}=15$ $\mathrm{mw}=6,4$ $\mathrm{s}=1,5$
${ }^{2.5)}$ I can follow the steps of the member of teaching staff's explanation.

${ }^{2.6)}$ The exercises encouraged independent work.

${ }^{2.7)}$ The member of teaching staff ties the meetings in with previous teaching.

${ }^{2.8)}$ The teaching aids used (e.g. lecture notes, StudIP services, literature, media) are useful to me.

${ }^{2.9)}$ Sufficient good quality equipment/facilities relevant to the class are available.
disagree

${ }^{2.10)}$ The class combines theory and practice well. disagree
 disagree
$\mathrm{n}=14$
$\mathrm{mw}=6,2$ $\mathrm{mw}=6,2$
$\mathrm{~s}=0,9$ $\mathrm{s}=0,9$
$\mathrm{E} .=1$ $\mathrm{n}=14$
$\mathrm{mw}=6,1$ $\mathrm{s}=0,9$
${ }^{2.13)}$ The content of the class is coordinated with the other activities in the module.
disagree

${ }^{2.14)}$ The member of teaching staff handles the students fairly.

${ }^{2.15)}$ In relation to the credits awarded my workload is ...
far too low

${ }^{2.16)}$ As a whole I rate this class as ... very poor


## 3. Opportunity for Faculty questions

${ }^{3.1)}$ There was sufficient support (questions were answered, help was given).

3.2) The experiments contributed significantly to my understanding of physics.

${ }^{3.3)}$ The performance demanded by the experiments was ...

${ }^{3.4)}$ My insight into how the experiments functioned was ...

3.5) For the preparation and realisation of the experiments and writing the notes I needed in total per experiment ....

| 0-6hrs | 57.1\% | $\mathrm{n}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6-9hrs | 0\% |  |
| 9-12hrs | 14.3\% |  |
| 12-15hrs | 14.3\% |  |
| 15-20hrs | 14.3\% |  |
| >20hrs | 0\% |  |

## 4. Option for member of teaching staff to formulate questions

If the member of teaching staff wishes to ask you additional questions, the following fields may be used for your answers. Questions (1) to (3) will be announced by the member of teaching staff (on the board, slide, additional sheet, etc.).
${ }^{4.1)}$ Member of teaching staff's question 1

$\mathrm{n}=3$
$\mathrm{mw}=5$
$\mathrm{~s}=3,5$
${ }^{4.2)}$ Member of teaching staff's question 1
disagree

$\mathrm{n}=3$ $\mathrm{mw}=6,7$
$\mathrm{s}=0,6$
4.3) Member of teaching staff's question 1


## Histogramme zu den Skalafragen

## I learn a lot in this class.



The exercises were formulated clearly and comprehensibly.


The member of teaching staff ties the meetings in with previous teaching.


The class combines theory and practice well.


The performance requirements were made clear in one of the first sessions.


The member of teaching staff handles the students fairly.


The learning objectives were clearly formulated.


The exercises encouraged independent work.


The teaching aids used (e.g. lecture notes, StudIP services, literature, media) are useful to me.
 the class are available.


I rate the key competencies acquired in class as useful for my later professional life.


In relation to the credits awarded my workload is ...


## As a whole I rate this class as ...

|  | very poor | very good |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% |  | $\square$ | $1 \mathrm{mw}=6.7$ |
| 75\% |  | 67\% | $-s=0.5$ |
| $50 \%$ $25 \%$ |  | 33\% | $n=12$ |

The performance demanded by the experiments was ..


## Member of teaching staff's question 1



There was sufficient support
(questions were answered, help was given).


My insight into how
the experiments functioned was ..


The experiments contributed significantly to my understanding of physics.


Member of teaching staff's question 1


Member of teaching staff's question 1


## Profillinie

Teilbereich:
Name der/des Lehrenden:
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Hands-on course on density functional calculations I
(Name der Umfrage)
Aktl05 Physik englisch
Prof. Dr. Peter Blöchl

Hands-on course on density functional calculations

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert
2. Questions about the class
2.1) I learn a lot in this class
2.2) The content of the class is well structured.
${ }^{2.3)}$ The learning objectives were clearly formulated.
2.4) The exercises were formulated clearly and comprehensibly.
2.5) I can follow the steps of the member of teaching staff's explanation.
2.6) The exercises encouraged independent work.
2.7) The member of teaching staff ties the meetings in with previous teaching.
2.8) The teaching aids used (e.g. lecture notes, StudIP services, literature, media) are useful to me.
2.9) Sufficient good quality equipment/facilities relevant to the class are available.
2.10) The class combines theory and practice well
2.11) The performance requirements were made clear in one of the first sessions.
2.12) I rate the key competencies acquired in class as useful for my later professional life.
2.13) The content of the class is coordinated with the other activities in the module.
2.14) The member of teaching staff handles the students fairly.
2.15) In relation to the credits awarded my workload is ...
${ }^{2.16)}$ As a whole I rate this class as ..

## 3. Opportunity for Faculty questions

3.1) There was sufficient support (questions were answered, help was given).
3.2) The experiments contributed significantly to my understanding of physics
3.3) The performance demanded by the experiments was ..
3.4) My insight into how
the experiments functioned was ..


| $\mathrm{n}=13$ | $\mathrm{mw}=6,8$ | $\mathrm{md}=7,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=0,4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{mw}=6,2$ | $\mathrm{md}=6,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=0,8$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=8$ | $\mathrm{mw}=4,6$ | $\mathrm{md}=4,5$ | $\mathrm{~s}=0,7$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{mw}=5,0$ | $\mathrm{md}=5,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=0,9$ |

4. Option for member of teaching staff to formulate questions
4.1) Member of teaching staff's question 1
4.2) Member of teaching staff's question 1
4.3) Member of teaching staff's question 1


| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $\mathrm{mw}=5,0$ | $\mathrm{md}=7,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=3,5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $\mathrm{mw}=6,7$ | $\mathrm{md}=7,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=0,6$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=2$ | $\mathrm{mw}=6,0$ | $\mathrm{md}=6,0$ | $\mathrm{~s}=1,4$ |

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen
5. Space for further comments
${ }^{5.1)}$ Notes on the class (strengths, weaknesses, suggestions for improvement). Please write within the box.

Very Good

- Strength: Combination of lectures $t$ exercises
- Improve: Maybe less exercises or combine with exercises with paperlpencil inskad of only computer
$\leftrightarrow$ more own prats.
-o whopper for georineturies.
+: Strong frow on the practical past in addition to the lectures
4: Cross links embedded an the lecture to respective functions or connomands loptions is the software
$t$ : Very good tutorial
OFI: A shoos general introduction to the materin at the ven beginning may help thou who are new to the subject
C. f. (lavithal form

Vorlesung sehe gut struktariertechd ich konnk dem lukalt gat folgen akich wena linige konvepte and gceichangen erst jetit Caupsam in inver Deleutary klor widea.
Das Tutorial Handbuch Casst sich gaty lesen uad ab arbeiten, uean auch das abtipplen der.CntC Dateilen seher mankjom ist.

More comumication between participents

